

Pension Fund Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill # HB0034			Title: Revise retirement statutes to address return to work issues and funding concerns							
Primary Sponsor: Wilmer, I	ranke			Status:	As A	meno	led in H	ouse Committee		
Retirement Systems Affected:		-		olic Employe			Ū	ay Patrol		Police
☐ Sheriffs	☐ Firefigh	ters	Vol	lunteer Firef	fighters		Game '	Wardens		Judges
Check the box if "Yes".										
Has this legislateHas the cost of										
☑ Does this legisla	tion include full	funding for an	y benefi	it revisions?						
				July 1	1, 2008	8	Jul	y 1, 2008	I	ncrease/
				Curren	t Syste	em	Wit	h Changes	(D	ecrease)
Present Value of Act	uarial Accr	ued Liabili	tv	\$3,953	.700.0	00	\$3.9	53,700,000		\$0
Present Value of Actuarial Assets				\$3,159,100,000		\$3,159,100,000			\$0	
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)			\$794,600,000		\$794,600,000			\$0		
Amortization Period	(years) of U	JAAL			31	30		30.60		0.07
	In	ly 1, 2008	Tuls	1, 2009	July	1 20	110	July 1, 2011	Ţ,,	ly 1, 2012
Employee Contribution R		7.15%	July	7.15%	July	1, 20 7.1		7.15%	JU	7.15%
Employee Contribution R Employer Contribution R		7.13%		7.13% 7.47%	7.13 7.47					7.13%
State Contribution Rate	uic .	2.11%		2.49%	2.49			2.49%		2.49%
Working Retiree Employ	er Rate	0%		0%			0%		0%	
TOTAL Contribution Rate 16.73%			17.11%			17.11%		17.11%		

FISCAL SUMMARY

	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference	FY 2012 Difference	FY 2013 Difference
Expenditures:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other - Pension Trust	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other - Pension Trust	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> This proposal will help reduce future unfunded liabilities created when a retiree's benefits are terminated and then recalculated based on the higher salary and service earned following a period of reemployment as an active member of the TRS. It will also provide additional funding for the TRS starting July 1, 2013, to help offset the adverse affect of retirees returning to work in part-time non-contributing positions in place of active contributing members.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. This proposal will only affect the calculation of retirement benefits of retirees who return to active status on or after July 1, 2009. As of December 12, 2008, there were only 64 former retired TRS members in active status that will retire in the future, receive a totally recalculated benefit, and not be affected by passage of HB 34.
- 2. When a retiree returns to active status, forfeits benefits, and then retires a second time, the resulting benefit increase is under funded. A study of 104 retirees who returned to work, forfeited benefits, and then retired a second time over the past 20 years found that the present value of the benefit increase they received was over \$7 million, of which only around \$2 million was funded with new employee and employer contributions.
- 3. Effective July 1, 2013, employers employing retirees in part-time non-contributing TRS positions, while the retiree continues to receive full benefits pursuant to 19-20-731, MCA, will contribute to TRS 9.85% of all wages paid to the "working retirees".
- 4. The additional contributions contributed on wages paid to "working retirees" starting July 1, 2013, will reduce the amortization period from 31.3 years to 30.6 years.
- 5. The actuarial cost estimates included in this fiscal note are based on the assets and member data used in the July 1, 2008, Actuarial Valuation. No gains or losses from asset or liability experience after that date are included.

Effect on Local Governments:

1. Effective July 1, 2013, school districts (and all other TRS employers) will contribute to the TRS 9.85% of all wages paid to retired TRS members working part time in a position that would be eligible to participate in TRS if not for the fact that a retired member had been hired to fill the position. The total cost to school districts will depend upon the number of retirees hired to fill part-time positions and the wages paid. Based on the past experience of the TRS through June 30, 2008, and assuming an average annual wage increase of 4.5%, school districts cost may approach \$500,000 - \$600,000 per year. However, if the school district choose to hire a non-retired person to fill positions, they would be required to contribute to the TRS anyway; therefore, this proposal may result in little or no additional cost to school districts.

t	he TRS anyway; therefore, this proposal may result in little or no additional cost to school districts.
1. 7	g-Term Impacts: The University System and state agencies would be expected to contribute between \$250,000 and \$300,000 per year starting July 1, 2013. However, if employers choose to hire non-retired persons to fill TRS eligible positions, they would be required to contribute to the TRS anyway; therefore, this proposal may result in little or no additional cost TRS covered employers.

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Sponsor's Initials

Date